Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of)	
)	
Inquiry Concerning the Deployment of)	
Advanced Telecommunications)	
Capability to All Americans in a)	CC Docket 98-146
Reasonable and Timely Fashion, and)	
Possible Steps to Accelerate Such)	
Deployment Pursuant to Section 706)	
of the Telecommunications Act of 1996)	

REPLY COMMENTS of the ORGANIZATION FOR THE PROMOTION AND ADVANCEMENT OF SMALL TELECOMMUNICATIONS COMPANIES

I. Introduction

The Organization for the Promotion and Advancement of Small Telecommunications

Companies ("OPASTCO") hereby submits these replies to comments submitted in response to
the Federal Communications Commission's Third Notice of Inquiry in the above-captioned
proceeding.¹ OPASTCO is a national trade association representing over 500 small
telecommunications carriers serving rural areas of the United States. Its members, which
include both commercial companies and cooperatives, together serve over 2.5 million
customers. All OPASTCO members are rural telephone companies as defined in 47 U.S.C.

OPASTCO Reply Comments October 9, 2001

¹ Inquiry Concerning the Deployment of Advanced Telecommunications Capability to All Americans in a Reasonable and Timely Fashion, and Possible Steps to Accelerate Such Deployment Pursuant to Section 706 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Third Notice of Inquiry, CC Docket No. 98-146, FCC 01-223 (rel. Aug. 10, 2001) ("NOI").

§153(37).

OPASTCO's initial comments in response to the NOI stated that advanced services are being deployed in areas served by rural carriers in a reasonable and timely manner to the extent market forces, technological limitations and regulatory impediments allow. OPASTCO also stated that in order to encourage investment, the Commission should immediately freeze the authorized rate of return at 11.25 percent, adhere to Sec. 309(j) of the Communications Act, and permit accelerated depreciation. These replies highlight the need for minimizing the regulatory burdens placed upon small, rural carriers.

II. The Commission should reverse its tendency to impose inappropriate "onesize-fits-all" regulations on small, rural carriers

In comments filed in response to this docket's previous notices of inquiry, OPASTCO noted that regulatory burdens would only impede the delivery of advanced services in communities served by small, rural carriers.² Commenting parties to the current NOI, including several that are not telecommunications carriers, also demonstrated that regulations serve as impediments to investment.³ As Intel pointed out, "[m]any financial analysts agree that current regulations are discouraging broadband deployment."⁴ The National Grange correctly noted that excessive regulation "has a negative impact on the decision to invest in broadband in the future, especially in areas where there are fewer potential customers per mile, as in rural communities." Similarly, the Progress and Freedom Foundation stated:

² OPASTCO comments (fil. Sept. 14, 1998), pp. 4-8; OPASTCO comments (fil. March 20, 2000), pp. 6-9.

³ The National Grange of the Order of Patrons of Husbandry ("National Grange"), pp. 7-8; Intel Corporation ("Intel"), p. 2, pp. 11-15; Progress & Freedom Foundation ("PFF"), pp. 18-28.

⁴ Intel, pp. 14-15. *See also* PFF, pp. 21-22.

⁵ National Grange, p. 7.

The ability to serve Americans, particularly those in rural areas, depends on the ability of network operators to obtain appropriate economies of scale and scope in their deployments. Regulation of these deployments is likely to reduce their chances of obtaining either of these economic factors and could severely retard the deployment of advanced infrastructures.⁶

Small carriers serving rural consumers have the least ability to generate economies of scale and scope. Larger organizations have significant resources at their disposal, in addition to low-cost urban markets that can compensate, at least in part, for deployment in higher-cost settings. In contrast, OPASTCO carriers are small businesses that have no low-cost base that might help balance out the high costs of deploying in sparsely populated areas. As the United States Telecom Association ("USTA") observed:

ILECs serving smaller and rural areas are particularly sensitive to the adverse impact of regulations that create disincentives to investments in network upgrades or deployment of new networks to provide advanced communications services.⁷

In spite of the heightened negative effects regulations have upon the abilities of rural companies to deploy advanced services to consumers, the Commission has taken a one-size-fits-all approach to matters such as line sharing, unbundling and collocation. The Commission has swept small rural carriers into a regulatory regime that was crafted with only a handful of the nation's largest carriers in mind. The behavior and circumstances of small, rural carriers were not considered.

⁶ PFF, p. 18 (citation omitted).

⁷ USTA, p. i.

⁸ *See Ibid.*, pp. 9-13.

⁹ See OPASTCO comments and response to the Initial Regulatory Flexibility Analysis, *Deployment of Wireline Services Offering Advanced Telecommunications Capability* and *Implementation of the Local Competition Provisions of the Telecommunications Act of 1996*, CC Docket Nos. 98-147 and 96-98, FCC 00-297 (fil. Oct. 12, 2000); comments and reply comments of the Rural Telephone Coalition, CC Docket No. 98-147, FCC 99-48 (fil. June 15, 1999 and July 22, 1999).

As stated in OPASTCO's initial comments, rural carriers overcome considerable obstacles to deploy advanced services where feasible. The Commission cannot control factors such as market forces, population density or difficult terrain. Yet it need not impose regulations upon small carriers with the assumption that they have resources and market conditions that are comparable to large carriers.

Rather, the Commission should genuinely examine how regulations designed for large carriers, when applied indiscriminately to small carriers that serve rural consumers, compound the barriers to investment that Sec. 706 seeks to reduce. The Commission should refrain from imposing burdens on small carriers simply because it deems them appropriate for large carriers. Avoidance of unsuitable regulations, in addition to the recommendations proposed in OPASTCO's initial comments, will help speed the deployment of advanced services in rural areas by providers that have already demonstrated a commitment to serving these high-cost consumers. At the same time, an appropriately lighter regulatory regime for rural wireline carriers will not impede market entry by advanced service providers who also wish to serve rural consumers via satellite, cable, wireless or other facilities-based mediums.

V. Conclusion

Pursuant to Sec. 706, the Commission can take deregulatory actions that will lower barriers to investment in facilities that can bring advanced services to consumers in areas served by rural carriers. As outlined in our comments and replies, these actions include: immediately freezing the authorized rate of return at 11.25 percent and closing CC Docket No. 98-166;

¹⁰ See, Rural Task Force White Paper #2: *The Rural Difference*, available at http://www.wutc.wa.gov/rtf. The same factors which make the provision of basic voice telephone service more difficult and expensive in

adhering to Sec. 309(j); permitting more accurate depreciation of equipment; and declining to impose inappropriate, "one-size-fits-all" regulations designed for large companies upon small rural carriers.

Respectfully submitted,

THE ORGANIZATION FOR THE PROMOTION AND ADVANCEMENT OF SMALL TELECOMMUNICATIONS COMPANIES

By: /s/ Stuart Polikoff
Stuart Polikoff
Director of Government Relations

By: /s/ Stephen Pastorkovich Stephen Pastorkovich Business Development Director/ Senior Policy Analyst

> 21 Dupont Circle, NW Suite 700 Washington, DC 20036

> > (202) 659-5990

October 9, 2001

Certificate of Service

I, Alicia C. Reid, hereby certify that copies of OPASTCO's comments were sent on this, the 9th day of October, 2001 by first class United States mail, postage prepaid, to those listed on the attached sheet.

/s/ Alicia C. Reid

Alicia C. Reid

Service List CC Docket 98-146

The Honorable Michael Powell

Chairman FCC

445 12th Street, SW Washington, DC 20554

The Honorable Kevin Martin

Commissioner

FCC

445 12th Street, SW Washington, DC 20554

The Honorable Michael Copps

Commissioner

FCC

445 12th Street, SW Washington, DC 20554

The Honorable Kathleen Abernathy

Commissioner

FCC

445 12th Street, SW Washington, DC 20554

The Honorable Lila Jaber

Commissioner

Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahasee, FL 32399-0850

The Honorable G. Nanette Thompson

Chair

Regulatory Commission of Alaska 1016 West Sixth Avenue, Sts. 300 & 400

Anchorage, Alaska 99501-1963

The Honorable Bob Rowe, Commissioner

Montana Public Service Commission

1701 Prospect Avenue

P.O. Box 202601

Helena, MT 59620-2601

The Honorable Jo Anne Sanford, Chair North Carolina Utilities Commission

430 North Salisbury Street, Dobbs Building

PO Box 29510

Raleigh, NC 27626-0510

The Honorable Steve Furtney

Deputy Chair

Wyoming Public Service Commission

Hansen Building

2515 Warren Avenue, Suite 300 Cheyenne, Wyoming 82002

The Honorable Brett Perlman

Commissioner

Public Utility Commission of Texas

1701 N. Congress Avenue

PO Box 13326

Austin, TX 78711-3326

The Honorable Irma Muse Dixon

Commissioner

Louisiana Public Service Commission

Office of the Commissioner District 3 - New Orleans 1600 Canal Street

Suite 1400

New Orleans, LA 70112

Ellen Blackler, Special Assistant to the Bureau Chief, Common Carrier Bureau

FCC

Room 5-C413

445 12th Street, SW Washington, DC 20554

(2 hard copies plus disk)

John B. Glicksman Terry Romine Adelphia Business Solutions, Inc. One North Main Street Coudersport, PA 16915

Burt A. Braverman Scott Thompson Erik J. Cecil Cole, Raywid & Braverman, LLP 1919 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Suite 200 Washington, DC 20006

Robert M. Halperin Counsel for the State of Alaska Crowell & Moring LLP 1001 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20004

Douglas S. Wiley
Director - Government Relations
Alcatel USA, Inc.
1909 K Street, NW
Suite 800
Washington, DC 20006

Matthew D. Bennett Policy Director Alliance for Public Technology & World Institute on Disability 919 18th Street, NW Suite 900 Washington, DC 20006

Howard J. Symons Michelle M. Mundt Mintz, Levin, Cohn, Ferris, Glovsky and Popeo, P.C. 701 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Suite 900 (908) 221-8100 Washington, DC 20004 Mark C. Rosenblum Stephen C. Garavito AT&T Corporation Room 1131M1 295 North Maple Avenue Basking Ridge, NJ 07920

Douglas Garrett
James H. Bolin, Jr.
AT&T Broadband
188 Inverness Drive West, 6th Floor
Englewood, CO 80112

Stephen L. Earnest Richard M. Sbaratta Counsel for BellSouth Corporation Suite 4300 675 West Peachtree Street, N. E. Atlanta, GA 30375-0001

Jeran Akers, Mayor City of Plano, Texas P.O. Box 860358 Plano, TX 75086-0358

Paul Kouroupas Senior Counsel Global Crossing Development Co. 12 Headquarters Plaza 4th Floor, North Tower Morriston, NJ 07960

Martin L. Stern
Daniel Ritter
Megan H. Troy
Preston Gates Ellis & Rouvelas
Meeds LLP
1735 New York Avenue, NW
Suite 500
Washington, DC 20006

John D. Thomas

Counsel for Global Photon Systems Cole, Raywid & Braverman, LLP 1919 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW

Suite 200

Washington, DC 20006

Jeremy H. Stern Robert Jystad Counsel for Global Photon Systems Cole, Raywid & Braverman, LLP 2381 Rosecrans Avenue Suite 110

El Segundo, CA 90245

Leroy A. Watson, Legislative Director The National Grange of the Order of Patrons of Husbandry 1616 H St. NW Washington, DC 20006

Steven Berman
National Rural Telecommunications
Cooperative
2121 Cooperative Way, Suite 500
Herndon, VA 20171

Gary M. Epstein
John P. Janka
Arthur S. Landerholm
Counsel for Hughes Network Systems
Latham & Watkins
555 Eleventh Street, NW
Suite 1300
Washington, D.C. 20004

Peter K. Pitsch Robinanne J. Stancavage Intel Corporation Intel Government Affairs 1634 I ST, NW, Suite 300 Washington, DC 20006 Robin Hauer, Vice President, Traci Bone, Senior Attorney Jill Sandford, Senior Attorney Metromedia Fiber Network Services One Meadowlands Plaza East Rutherford, NJ 07073

Richard A. Askoff
Regina McNeil
Martha West
National Exchange Carrier Association
80 South Jefferson Road
Whippany, NJ 07981

Bruce A. Kushnick Executive Director New Networks Institute 826 Broadway, Suite 900 New York, NY 10003

Jeffrey A. Eisenach Randolph J. May The Progress & Freedom Foundation 1301 K Street, NW, Suite 550E Washington, DC 20005

Daniel L. Brenner
Neal M. Goldberg
David L. Nicholl
Gregory Klein
NCTA

1724 Massachusetts Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20036-1903

Marilyn Mohrman-Gillis
Lonna M. Thompson
Andrew D. Cotlar
Association of America's Public Television
Stations
1350 Connecticut Avenue, NW
Suite 200
Washington, DC 20036

Sharon J. Devine
Norman G. Curtright
Qwest Communications

1020 19th Street, NW, Suite 700

Washington, DC 20036

Carl Oppedahl, Board Member Ruby Ranch Internet Cooperative

Association

c/o Oppedahl & Larson LLP

P.O. Box 5088

Dillon, CO 80435-5088

Anu Seam

Roger K. Toppins
Paul K. Mancini
SBC Communications
1401 Eye Street, NW, Suite 1100
Washington, DC 20005

Jay C. Keithley Sprint Corporation 401 9th Street, NW, #400 Washington, DC 20004

Rick Zucker Sprint Corporation 6360 Sprint Parkway, KSOPHE0302 Overland Park, KS 66251

Max Yzaguirre
Brett A. Perlman
Rebecca Klein
Public Utility Commission of Texas
1701 N. Congress Avenue
P.O. Box 13326
Austin, TX 7711-3326

Lawrence E. Serjeant

Linda L. Kent Keith Townsend John W. Hunter Julie E. Rones

United States Telecom Association 1401 H Street, NW, Suite 600 Washington, DC 20005

Michael E. Glover Lawrence W. Katz Edward Shakin

Verizon

1515 North Court House Road

Suite 500

Arlington, VA 22201-2909

Paul J. Sinderbrand Robert D. Primosch Wireless Communications Association

Wilkinson Barker Knauer, LLP 2300 N Street, NW, Suite 700 Washington, DC 20037-1128

Kimberly Scardino WorldCom 1133 19th Street, NW Washington, DC 20036

Marlene H. Dortch Office of Comm. Business Opportunities Federal Communications Commission 445 Twelfth Street, SW Washington, DC 20554

Eric Menge
Office of Advocacy
U.S. Small Business Administration
409 3rd Street SW
Washington, DC 20416